

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/455,651	12/07/1999	JURGEN ENGELBRECHT	452948-1	5435
7	7590 11/21/2002			
BRIAN J LAURENZO			EXAMINER	
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP 801 GRAND AVENUE SUITE 3900			CAIN, ED	WARD J
DES MOINES, IA 50321			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1714	21
			DATE MAILED: 11/21/2002	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>	MK-2
	Application No. 09/455,65/ Engelbrechet
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit Exward Can 1714
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIREMONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication.	
Status	/
Besponsive to communication(s) filed on	a for
This action is FINAL.	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except f accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	or formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s) 16-22, 24, 25	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s) 1-15, 23	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
1-70	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
$\hfill \Box$ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	ed to by the Examiner.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number 	ne priority documents have been
$\hfill \square$ received in this national stage application from the Inter	national Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	•
Attachment(s)	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No	(s) Interview Summary, PTO-413
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	☐ Other
Office	Action Summary

Application/Control Number: 09/455,651

Art Unit: 1714

The amendment received August 9, 2002 has been made of record.
 Claims 1-25 are pending.

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites a "polymer adapted to form a layer on said base surface" and "an adhesive...adapted to be in an overlying relationship".

It is not clear whether applicants are now claiming a coated base surface or a pair of composition.

- 4. Claims 1-15 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bryan and one of either Takahoshi or Dunn and one of Ibsen or Zalsman or Lee and of either Jacobs or Oxman or Ibsen '489.
- 5. Claims 1-15 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over .

Each of these rejections are maintained as set forth in the previous Office Action since applicants' newly recited limitation are not seen as requiring a two layer structure.

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 09/455,651

Art Unit: 1714

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Edward Cain whose telephone number is (703) 308-0042. The examiner can generally be reached on Monday-Friday 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 703-306-2777. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application is assigned are (703) 872-9311 for regular communications and (703) 305-7115 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Art Unit: 1714

E. Cain/dh November 19, 2002